Iwi/Māori values and the wastewater standards

To meet our Treaty settlement and legislative obligations, the Water Services Authority -Taumata Arowai (the Authority) has undertaken a range of activities to inform proposed wastewater standards.

A How have iwi and hapu values informed the development of the standards?

Key elements in this work:

Treaty settlement obligations: Ongoing review to identify Treaty settlement arrangement obligations and explore potential impacts of standards.

> 1 Iwi and hapū perspectives: Developed case studies to understand iwi and hapū involvement in wastewater treatment arrangements and council relationships.

Technical advice: Informed by practitioners, technical experts, and the Authority's Māori Advisory Group - Te Puna.

wastewater

treatment plants identified with iwi and hapū involvement across a range of characteristics including size, location, and discharge types.

The highest

wastewater

standard should

be achieved for

discharges to

water and land

treatment

Desktop research **undertaken** to

understand iwi and hapū perspectives and develop a shortlist of 10 plants.

Biosolids should

between regions

not be transported

Six case studies were developed through intensive

The Authority worked with iwi/Māori and councils to develop case studies detailing iwi and hapū involvement in wastewater treatment:

engagement with iwi and hapū who were part of the development and ongoing monitoring of the wastewater arrangements.

Wastewater

discharge

should not

gathering)

impact cultural

practices such as

mahinga kai (food

Engagement was also undertaken

with territorial authorities (TAs) and regional councils (RCs) to further inform our understanding of the wastewater arrangements.

Iwi and hapū

are experts

and should

be resourced

to engage in

wastewater

decision-making

treatment

A detailed case study report

identifying key insights and themes from the six case studies was compiled - this informed ongoing development of the proposed wastewater standards.

What iwi and hapū told us

Wāhi tapu, urupā,

and sensitive

environments

must not be

impacted by

wastewater

discharge

receiving

The selected case studies were: Case studies Relevant standards **Population** 1 CAMBRIDGE Discharge to water Large (urban) 2 GISBORNE Discharge to water Medium and biosolids disposal (regional) **3** PORIRUA Discharge to coastal Large (urban) water with overflow challenges 4 PUKEKOHE Discharge to water Large (urban) and land 5 ROTOITI-Discharge to land Small (rural) + summer peaks 6 TAIPĀ Discharge to artificial Small (rural) wetland and land

How do the proposed standards reflect iwi and hapū values?

Human waste is

Human waste must go through a process of whakanoa (cleansing) before being integrated back into the

environment

TAs will continue to have obligations under local government legislation to engage with their communities about wastewater arrangements. Each iwi and hapū will need to consider whether options for change to these arrangements align with their local tikanga, mātauranga, and aspirations around whakanoa processes.

tapu (prohibited) and discharge to water should be avoided

The proposed

wastewater

standards include

land standard. The

intention is to create

a pragmatic and cost

effective pathway for

hapū, and communities

local councils, iwi,

when considering

any change to their

current wastewater

arrangements.

a discharge to

The proposed wastewater standards will set treatment requirements in a way that is calibrated to the sensitivity of the receiving environment, including public health and environmental outcomes

At-place

hapū

decision-making is

important as an

expression of the

role of iwi and

There will be transparent, regular reporting to iwi and hapū about whether treatment plants are meeting these requirements, and whether overflows are happening in their area.

The proposed standards represent a balance between cost, public health and environmental outcomes, and community aspirations. The treatment

requirements are set at a level that protects public health and the environment.

The proposed standard allows safe reuse of biosolids which may reduce transport of biosolids between regions if they meet specific treatment and management requirements, ensuring environmental and public health risks are managed. It removes current obstacles, such as consenting requirements for highgrade biosolids reuse.

Standards propose a **Ouantitative Microbial Risk Assessment** when discharging near shellfish gathering **sites** to identify public health risks from pathogen exposure and tailor treatment limits accordingly.

Significantly greater reporting of network overflows (untreated or partially treated wastewater) is also proposed to provide information to affected communities.

This falls outside the scope of the standards.

Resourcing for

iwi and hapū remains a matter for local communities and councils to address. Proposed standards aim to streamline the consenting process, saving time for participants while allowing iwi and hapū to contribute to key decisions.

Symbol key:

of significance such as wāhi tapu, urupā, and wastewater management

Iwi and hapū should continue to engage with TAs and RCs on impacts to sites

wāhi tūpuna Discharges to land, or use of biosolids on land, will not be allowed on or near wāhi tapu.

The proposed treatment standards will not apply to sensitive environments, such as pristine waterbodies or areas near drinking water intakes.

incorporate tikanga or mātauranga Māori-based approaches

Monitoring and

The proposed

wastewater

increase monitoring

standards will

significantly

and reporting

about wastewater

arrangements and

with consents. It will

remain with individual

iwi and hapū to engage

requirements in line

with local tikanga and

monitoring and reporting

their compliance

with councils on

mātauranga.

reporting should

Wastewater standards set quality requirements for treatment. New and innovative approaches to treatment can

Innovation should

be enabled

How this has informed the wastewater standards

continue, as long as these quality requirements are met.

How are we considering Treaty settlement obligations?

The Authority is engaging with iwi and hapū across the country.

Treaty settlement arrangements that impose statutory obligations on decision-makers under the Water Services Act: We are continuing to engage with iwi in the Waikato, Waipā, and Whanganui catchments, where Treaty settlements impose obligations on decision-makers.

Resource management arrangements relating to water services: We are engaging with iwi and hapū that have arrangements under Treaty settlements, the Resource Management Act, or other legislation relating to wastewater management.

All other iwi and hapū across the country: The Authority has a duty to engage meaningfully with all Māori in relation to its functions, and there are opportunities for all iwi and hapū to understand and respond to the proposals.

Overflows



Overflows occur in almost all networks. especially in older, poorly maintained ones when blockages or heavy rainfall overwhelm



There is limited or poor monitoring of overflows and reporting to communities in many places. Councils have varying levels of network knowledge; some councils don't know where or why overflows happen.

The proposals in the discussion document are designed to require councils to provide transparent information to the public about overflows when they happen, particularly where they are high risk or impact on activities such as mahinga kai.



The aim is to improve network knowledge and public reporting as this can significantly reduce the frequency of overflows and protect communities and our water bodies.

Version: 13 MAR 2025 Version: 13 MAR 2025